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Summary. The article explores how a number of artists have employed the counter/actual as a form of past-talk in a conscious intervention into socio-political and ethical issues arising from the Israeli occupation of Palestine. I argue that such uses of the counter/actual more effectively foreground the injustices arising from the occupation while not only problematising the process of representation but also deconstructing the ways in which histories are intimately intertwined with relations of power and practises of legitimisation; they do not simply reproduce “the (f)actual” but work to repossess the past from the dominance of hegemonic interests.
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THE COUNTER/ACTUAL: ART AND STRATEGIES OF ANTI-COLONIAL RESISTANCE

The counter(f)actual provides imaginative flexibility and interpretative fluidity, encompassing historians’ what-if narratives as well as artists’ and novelists’ more “literary” constructions of realities that resonate with but do not mimetically correspond to past, or present, actualities.1 Within history, the sub-genre of historiographical counterfactual speculation considers the third conditional—if events had been slightly different, what then would have happened? Such narratives stress the importance of contingency in historical explanation while simultaneously emphasising the relative importance (or lack thereof) of individual incidents or persons in how-things-came-to-be-the-way-they-are. Even though such narrations are counter-to-the-facts, they antithetically work to reinforce the factual epistemological status of history and the distinction between fact and fiction. Tucker argues that “the only way to examine the contingency of history is to study it empirically, and attempt with the help of theoretically based counterfactuals to find out how sensitive particular historical outcomes were to initial conditions.”2 Yet the speculative and imaginative construction of causality in such accounts is no different to that employed by historians in their explanations of the supposedly factual. Histories do not offer an epistemologically-privileged glimpse into the (f)actual; they do not correspond to reality. Instead, just like historians’ counterfactual speculations, and the counter/actual worlds imagined by artists and novelists, they problematically represent a particular perspective; they use past-talk to explain the now.

In this article I want to look at how a number of artists have employed the counter/actual as a form of past-talk in a conscious intervention into socio-political issues of the present, namely the Israeli occupation of Palestine.3 I use the term counter/actual to describe not that which is fictional but that which speaks otherwise to particular hegemonic or widely accepted narratives.4 I argue that uses of the counter/actual not only effectively highlight the injustices of occupation but also problematise the process of representation through a deconstruction of the ways in which histories are intimately intertwined with relations of power and practises of legitimisation. Such forms of artistic past-talk therefore do not simply reproduce “the (f)actual” but instead attempt to change “the contents of public discourse and the contours of public space” and, in doing so, they both repossess and repurpose hegemonic forms of past-talk.5 Many of the counter/actual narratives discussed below work to subvert “the
positions of victor and victim by sabotaging the relations between the real and the fictional.  
By abandoning the documentary genre, these narratives, in effect, de-realise documentary evidence and thus not only render uncertain the immediate political significance of hegemonic representational depictions of Palestine and Palestinians but also reject the inequality inherent in them. The counter/actual provides the means for the imagination and assertion of a new vision or definition of reality.

The counter/actual also offers a means of problematising any potential for the representation of atrocities and the destruction of hope in situations of extreme violence and conflict. The interweaving of documentary practices—the use of archival footage and eye-witness testimony—with more imaginative, anecdotal, or counter/actual elements makes “visible and audible speech that has been willingly or unwillingly concealed or simply ignored.”

Lamia Joreige’s *Here and Perhaps Elsewhere* is a documentary-style video in which she walks along the former Green Line that divided Beirut, tries to locate the position of the various checkpoints from archival newspaper photographs, and asks residents if they knew anyone who was kidnapped or killed by militias during the Lebanese wars (1975–1990).  
The interviewees relate multiple stories of kidnapping, sometimes adding that it is “common knowledge” who took the disappeared. Yet this recollection of the past contrasts starkly with the “memory deficit” and “state-sponsored amnesia” that is official Lebanese policy.  
In this situation, the “actual”, that is, the kidnapping and death of friends, neighbours, and relatives, is consigned to a counter/actual world of non-remembrance and mass cultural amnesia. Joreige, however, effectively challenges this counter/actual present “reality” through her documentary practices.  

The rearranging, re-interpreting, and interweaving of archival materials and personal testimonies in her documentary practice acknowledges that the collation of all evidence relating to a particular event is an impossibility, and that “some facts, dramas, and experiences will never reach us and will remain unspoken, buried [we can only] presume that they are there, yet missing.”  
These “documentary fictions” challenge the idea of a singular, unique truth and instead provide incomplete, shifting truths that encourage critical scepticism with regards to the possibility of there ever being incontrovertible evidence or an objective basis for representation.

The counter/actual can also challenge the narrative of dominant forms of representation: it embodies a plurality of perspectives, offers subversive, unofficial histories, and problematises our unquestioning reliance on form when judging which texts we use to ascertain the truth.  

In *The Atlas Group Archive*, Walid Ra’ad creates the real through the unreal, documenting the Lebanese civil war through an archive of images, text, video, and other documents he has produced, located, and preserved. His various notebooks, photographs, and videos simultaneously look like archival materials and do not. Although these archival documents may ultimately be imaginative constructions, many of the elements on which they are based originate from “the historical world”. Ra’ad’s various counter/actual notebooks, recording cars used in car-bombings and documenting found bullets and shrapnel, imply that the sources themselves—and the documentary facts we apparently extract from this historical evidence—really tell us nothing; it is our contextualisation, construction, interpretation, and use of the information that creates a story.  

Perhaps more importantly in the context of the works discussed below, Ra’ad seeks not only to redefine the idea of the fictive but also to discourage examination through the reductive binary of fiction and non-fiction. Rather than be interpreted as a “disavowal of truth and referential meaning,” he argues that fiction provides “a medium for the construction of truth producing images that are psychologically significant and historically meaningful.”

The counter/actual does not provide an authentic and somehow originary account—far more usefully, it offers a future-orientated narrative of relevance to the narrator and intended audiences; it offers the building blocks of a new reality, “the story that may cure you.”  

Joana Hadjithomas and Khalil Joreige interweave the counter/actual with the actual in *The Lebanese Rocket Society—A Tribute to Dreamers* (2011–2013), a documentary that details the long-forgotten
Lebanese involvement in the space race and rocket research in the early 1960s and explores the significance of its absence from memory today. While most of the film, based on archival footage and contemporary interviews, narrates how Prof. Manoug Manougian and his students built and launched more than ten rockets designed for space study and exploration, the last section shifts genre and provides an animated speculation on the counter/actual—what would Lebanon have been like if the space project had continued? In the animated future-world, there is a National Museum of Space which narrates the history of the Lebanese Rocket Society, the launch of the first Lebanese satellite into space in 1969, and the subsequent role satellites have played in the economic, military, and scientific development of Lebanon into a safe and prosperous nation. Hadithomas and Joriege draw attention to how past narratives structure and constrain our imaginaries, how they affect our imagination of the future, how they reinforce meta-narratives, but also how they could be used to liberate people from overwhelming mythologies. In a time when the term “rocket” has become a synonym for “missile” and the idea of Lebanese scientists working on space research seems inconceivable, they wanted to capture a moment in which a different future was possible—a moment full of hope, a moment before the defeat of 1967 transformed the Arab world and overwhelmed the possibilities of past futures.

The counter/actual is a form of mythopoesis—not the production of false stories but a means by which stories are “told and shared, retold and manipulated […] stories that may give shape to some kind of ritual” alternative histories that offer counter-manipulation strategies against hegemonic discourses as a means of intervention: a creative force through which alternative visions of the world are effected. Larissa Sansour’s recent sci-fi video trilogy employs the counter/actual as a means of foregrounding and contesting the spatialities of neo-colonial power in the context of Palestine/Israel. Through these works, she demonstrates how historical and mythical narratives, embedded in both archaeological and architectural praxis, are used to legitimise the ethnic cleansing of Palestinians from their homes as well as the Israeli occupation of East Jerusalem and the West Bank. All three of Sansour’s works focus on Palestinian dislocation, their forced removal from their land, and their desire to find a home: all three create counter/actual spaces for Palestinians to inhabit. Space Exodus (2009), referencing both Kubrick’s Space Odyssey and the moon landing by Armstrong, imagines a world in which the first Palestinian astronaut goes into space. As with Hadithomas’s and Joriege’s work, Sansour here uses the counter/actual to imagine a more hopeful, optimistic possible future and, in doing so, challenges our expectations concerning national inevitability. In Space Exodus, the Palestinian astronaut, played by Sansour herself, lands on the moon and plants a Palestinian flag, sharply reminding the viewer that the idea of a viable Palestinian state is daily being eroded by Israel, with the complicity of other major world powers, and that Palestinians may currently have a greater chance of establishing their state on the moon than in their own land. The moon, therefore, becomes “a land without people for a people increasingly without land.” When shown as part of an art exhibit in museums and galleries, the film A Space Exodus is often accompanied by the installation of small vinyl sculptures of tiny Palestinauts that are scattered through the gallery space. These sculptures resemble a futuristic version of Handala, the boy who featured in Naji al-Ali’s cartoons, examining the complexity of life for Palestinian refugees but also symbolising their resilience and hope for justice, self-determination, and freedom from oppression. The scattering of these Palestinauts in places around the world recalls the Palestinian de-territorialisation, or “exodus”, following the violence of the Nakba.

The horror that it is only in space that the Palestinians will find a home is further exacerbated by the conclusion of the film. The astronaut is seen floating out into space, futilely calling mission control in Jerusalem but met only with radio-silence, suggesting not only a future of ongoing exile and oblivion, with Palestinian ties to their homeland and Jerusalem severed, but also that, in the enveloping silence of space, no-one hears their voice and they are destined to fade into oblivion. Sansour’s use of the counter/actual— together with icons of Palestinian nationalism (the flag, reference to the sunbird, use of traditional embroidery in the
flag) and the ambiguous (and unresponsive) presence of mission control in Jerusalem—expresses a sense of Palestinian belonging and identity while simultaneously facing the stark possibility that Palestinians will remain eternally homeless in a permanent state of un-belonging. In this context, the use of the counter/actual liberates this narrative of belonging and displacement from the forms of representative repression inherent in many cinematic, documentary, and textual narratives of Palestinian nationalism and resistance. Such films, through their location in refugee camps, occupied territory, or diasporic exile, are not only necessarily intertwined with, and thus reactive to the hegemonic discourses of the Israeli state, neighbouring Arab governments, or the Palestinian Authority, but are also impinged upon by inflections of orientalism and Islamophobia. By employing the counter/actual Sansour’s work offers a potential openness for future imaginations of Palestinianness while still functioning as “an act of resistance and a weapon of culture.”

Sansour’s dystopian Nation Estate (2012) imagines the nation state of Palestine as a giant sky-scraperscraper with different floors housing state infrastructure (hospitals, universities, markets, passports, energy, sanitation), heritage institutions used as indexical markers of nation-hood (museums, archives, etc.), and the entire population of Palestine living in floors named after Palestinian cities. A pregnant woman returns home, arriving via the sleek Amman Express. Having passed through a biometric security check, she enters the spacious and largely deserted, futuristic skyscraper. Taking a lift from the foyer, she arrives at Bethlehem and, crossing a square enclosed with ancient stone-walls, enters her apartment. This futuristic depiction of Palestinian life provides an ironic counter-point to the actual realities of life for Palestinians subject to Israeli military control. In this counter/actual world, Palestinians can easily move between cities via the elevator rather than waiting, often futilely, for arbitrarily-given permission to continue their journey at Israeli checkpoints. Similarly, adverts in the lift remind travellers that this week’s water supply is provided by Norwegian Fjords and the water pipes for peace program—a contrast to the way in which Israel currently restricts the water supply to Gaza as a means of controlling the effectively incarcerated Gazans.

The presence of reconstructed ancient buildings within the skyscraper (for example, the Dome of the Rock Mosque on the Jerusalem floor) foregrounds the importance such buildings have in imagining national identities, as well as the role that excavated and/or reconstructed archaeological ruins play in consolidating and legitimising the Israeli occupation of East Jerusalem and expansion into the West Bank. In particular, architectural remains of public works or aristocratic homes from first century Herodian Jerusalem, such as the Herodian Mansion and the Burnt House, not only attest to the civilisational splendour of the Israelites but also—through the narratives of their construction and destruction—articulate a national-historical tale, and stand as “the cultural heritage of a returning modern nation.” Their depiction of “eras of national ascendance and moments of national demise” testify to the establishment of a splendid Hebrew city and culture, a culture that was destroyed by the Romans and forced into a long exile, before finally returning to their homeland. Moreover, emphasis placed by Israeli guides in various archaeological museums on the foundational status of the Hebrew city as original and built on bedrock—in contrast to the later accretions of Byzantine, Arab, or Islamic buildings—combined with the archaeological (re)construction of the old Jewish Quarter of Ottoman Jerusalem, has worked to present the city as an “old-new (Jewish) place and the symbolic center of the unified capital of the Israeli state.”

The Palestinian nation embodied in a futuristic colossal high-rise is a perfectly controlled, museum-like utopia, yet a poster on the wall in the entrance foyer encapsulates the dispossession that the stylish tower block embodies. Referencing Franz Kraus’ iconic Visit Palestine poster from 1936, published by a Zionist Development agency during the British colonial occupation of Palestine, the poster depicts the nation estate skyscraper clearly surrounded by a wall—the controversial separation or apartheid wall that Israel is building in the occupied West Bank and which is gradually appropriating and colonising more and more Palestinian territory.
slick aesthetic of the skyscraper, it doesn't present a future equitable division of resources and land, together with freedom and self-determination for the Palestinian people. It is instead, as the wall and gun turrets shown at the end of the film make clear, a form of incarceration. As Foucault noted: “in the world of prisons [...] the vertical is not one of the dimensions of space, it is the dimension of power.”

An olive tree, the only organic element in the building stands out from the highly stylised, imposed architectural form, providing perhaps a metaphor for the inability of Palestinianness to be fully contained in the ever-decreasing, constritive spatialities demarcated by the architecture of occupation. In the occupied West Bank, the special security zones, military outposts, check points, road-blocks, separation barriers, and the link roads, tunnels, and fly-overs that connect the ever-increasing illegal Israeli settlements have fragmented the occupied West Bank into a territorial patchwork of separated, sealed spaces in which the imagination and performance of nationality and sovereignty is virtually impossible. Control over natural resources, security concerns (a desire to maintain military dominance), and the need to link and sustain the fragmented Israeli settlements means that, even within these spaces, Palestinian control only extends over the surface area. Thus, Sansour’s dystopian imagining of a partitioned, vertical Palestinian space is not so counter/actual as might initially appear. While the Palestinian Authority has nominal (and extremely limited) horizontal authority over sections of the West Bank, Israeli sovereignty is vertical; Israel controls the militarised airspace as well as underground resources—a power reinforced through the vertical architecture and geology of occupation, the tunnels and fly-overs linking settlements which are themselves built on the commanding heights of hill-tops. As Weizman argues, it is through the transformation of space and the power inherent in the vertical that the colonisation of the West Bank is taking place.

Sansour’s montage of archival photographs, live action, and computer-generated imagery in *In the Future They Ate from the Finest Porcelain* (2016) similarly addresses the futility of using facts to achieve justice. She uses the counter/actual to explore the role myth plays in the creation of facts, the narration of history, and imagination of national identities: *In the Future* is a fictional film that addresses the present day reality of political problems in Palestine of the Israeli occupation. The protagonist, a self-proclaimed narrative terrorist, is the leader of a narrative resistance group who make underground deposits of porcelain carrying Palestinian DNA as historical interventions. They deposit tableware “as facts in the ground for future archaeologists to excavate” in order to support future claims to the lands and to thereby create a de facto nation. These facts will, in the future, reify the long-term existence of the people they are imagining through the discovery of their excavated pottery and their subsequent insertion into a national narrative by archaeologists. The aim of the resistance group is to influence history, to create a new reality, and support future claims by their descendants to their occupied and vanishing lands. While the film presents a dystopian, science-fiction vision of a counter/actual world, it is also clearly about the situation in Palestine/Israel—the role that archaeology has played in the construction and legitimisation of a modern state and occupation of another’s land, and the attempts of Palestinians to establish a nation. The porcelain that the narrative terrorists are depositing is decorated with a design based on the Palestinian keffiyeh, and the film is intercut with archival photographs of Palestine and Palestinians. Sansour uses a counter/actual genre of expression rather than a documentary style because she doesn’t want Palestinians to always be the subjects of a documentary, to unceasingly be those who are analysed and examined, subject to the audience’s pitying or horrified gaze. Sansour seeks to avoid the representational inequality inherent in hegemonic depictions of Palestinians.

The film’s intertextual references to the Turin Shroud and the Last Supper foreground the way in which, in the context of Israeli colonialism, religious narratives are used to establish facts and determine the physical, geo-political boundaries of a modern nation state. Sansour argues that it is the power to control narrative, rather than any claim to truth, that ensures historical legitimacy. While the resistance leader of
**In the Future** says she “used to see archive and documentary as shortcuts to a truth-based counter-measure to the versions of history written by [her] rulers”, now she doesn’t—“truth is beside the point.” Her project is “not about getting history right, but about making it useful.” Archival resources—historical and archaeological evidence—don’t tell you what happened, they “don’t depict history, history is the story we tell about these photos and this story was never immune to fiction, religion, folklore or myths;” telling this story is a “narrative intervention.”

The choice of Sansour and the narrative terrorist to use pottery shards as a weapon in their conflict over land is a direct reference to the significance that pottery had for Zionist archaeologists in the twentieth century, for many of whom it functioned as an emblem of continuity and a signifier of the lasting presence of Jewish communities in Palestine. It was with Albright’s identification of pottery shards as “Israelite pottery” that “a body of evidence in which the ancient—the historical—nation would henceforth inhere” was generated. The rhetorics of Israeli state legitimisation are often articulated through a historicisation of religious discourse and a metanarrative of redemption and return. The claim to historical rights to the land is based on Biblical narratives of a Hebrew presence in the region thousands of years ago. The excavation of Galilean “Jewish or Israelite ruins”, pottery, and artefacts is seen as a “physical confirmation of the modern Jewish right to the land” and ‘fundamental to this cartography of continuity.” In the early twentieth century, when Albright was excavating Tell el-Ful, he found ceramic material from the Iron I period that he classified as “Israelite”, on the basis that they appeared to represent a new culture in early Iron Age Palestine and thus could only be Israelite; a conclusion that presented itself as reasonable, primarily because of the fundamental role that biblical texts—and their narrative of an ancient Israelite invasion—played in the establishment of an interpretative framework for archaeological finds in Palestine. The interpretation of pottery remains formed a significant part of archaeologists’ identification of a distinct, ethnically Israelite culture, different to that of the Canaanites. However, it was biblical narratives that provided the interpretative context which enabled these ceramic assemblages to be treated as providing evidence of a critical break in the material-cultural record that might signify conquest and thus the arrival of the Israelites, rather than as ruptures that might simply indicate internal changes among existing peoples. The mythico-religious texts provided a cultural framework within which the archaeological remains could be constituted as empirical facts. In turn, these archaeological remains validated biblical texts as authentic and reliable sources: the privileged institutional position of archaeology and its designation as a hegemonic discourse confirms the “myths of the past and defends them against scrutiny.” Sansour, therefore, draws attention to how archaeology has been instrumentalised as a political tool; the importance of who tells the story; and the absurdity of locating present-day claims to sovereignty in shards of ancient pottery situated many metres below the surface.

This role of archaeology in providing geo-political legitimisation for states as well as a hoped-for autochthonous ontology is addressed in China Miéville’s counter/actual novel *The City and The City*. In the novel, there are two “distinct” nations/states—Ul Qoma and Beszel—that co-exist in an uneasy, slightly hostile patchwork of overlapping and coterminous territory. While the two peoples may at times walk, drive, or even live “grosstopically” on the same street, through an elaborate performance of unseeing, they do not acknowledge the “other”, nor do they “breach” and enter the other’s territory. Thus, while the cities exist as distinct and separate political and cultural entities, spatially they co-exist and overlap. Such a dichotomisation (and politicisation) of space recalls Weizman’s argument, cited above, concerning Israel’s colonisation and occupation of Palestine through the fragmentation of Palestinian socio-cultural and geographical territoriality. The Israeli fly-overs, tunnels, and the “separation wall” provide “physical arrangements [that] deny even the possibility of a cognitive encounter,” enabling Israelis to travel “without even noticing the Palestinian traffic,” making Palestinian inhabitants “unseen.” In both the counter and the actual worlds, an intensive socialised learning of clues concerning modes
of dress, ways of walking, talking, and architectural styles leads to an internal attitude by which interac-
tion between the two groups of people is forestalled
or prevented. Sa‘adia Mandel, head of the architec-
ture department in Ariel College in the West Bank,
notes that his “architecture students watching out of
their classroom windows ‘see the Arab villages, but
don’t notice them. They look and they don’t see.”50
Developing the political analogy further, The City
and The City centres on a police investigation into
the murder of a young woman who was doing an
archaeology PhD, providing an opportunity for
an indirect discussion on the intersection between
archaeology, politics, and identity. Most archaeologi-
cal sites are apparently located in Ul Qoma, which
has a “mawkish sanctimoniousness about history,”
and which, through efforts of state archivists and
export restrictions, keeps protected the artefacts that
are interpreted by Ul Qoman nationalists as indicat-
ing “evidence of some Ul Qoman specific thing”
from the “pre-Cleavage era.”51 Theories concern-
ing the time before “the Cleavage”, the time of the
founding of Ul Qoma and Besźel, centre on notions
of splitting and convergence, being the same people
and different people—an approach that resonates
with archaeologists’ explanations of the emergence
of the Canaanites and Israelites, based on ideas of
arrival and conquest, rather than internal rupture
and renaming.52

In contrast to the above works, Jacir and Jarrar per-
form the counter/actual, that is, they perform that
which Palestinians cannot do. In Where We Come
From (2001–03) Jacir asks various Palestinians, liv-
ing in exile as stateless refugees or under military
curfew in the occupied territories, what she can do
for them in Palestine, a place that she can travel
freely within, but they can’t.53 She then undertakes
these simple tasks and gestures, recording the act
in a photo/text juxtaposition of wish and wish
fulfilment. For example, she travels to Gaza to eat
sayadiyeh, as the person making the request is a Pal-
estinian citizen of Israel and can’t travel to Gaza; she
walks in Nazareth because the person asking has a
West Bank identity card and isn’t permitted to travel
there; she visits a mother and gives her a hug and a
kiss, because her son left Gaza for Ramallah in 1995
and has not been allowed to return, despite having
Gazan identity papers; she travels to Haifa’s beach
and lights a candle there at dawn to remember
those who gave their lives for Palestine, on behalf of
Mohammad, whose parents were exiled from Jeru-
salem in 1948, and who now lives in Riyadh with
a Jordanian passport.54 Here, the counter/actual
embodies “the kinds of things that maybe allPale-
stinians will be able to do someday,” but which they
cannot do today, and, in doing so, it “allegorizes their
deprieved political status” and lack of basic human
rights as contained in the Universal Declaration
of Human Rights of the General Assembly of the
United Nations, including “freedom of movement,
personal independence, equality, and protection
from discrimination and degrading treatment.”55 As
with the other art works discussed above, Where We
Come From foregrounds the spatial control that Pal-
estinians are subject to through their visual absence.

Khalid Jarrar’s counter/actual artistic intervention,
State of Palestine, protests the refusal of other states
to recognise Palestine as a nation. In this work, Jar-
rar has invited passers-by in Ramallah and other
countries to have their passports stamped with his
own custom-designed stamp that reads “State of
Palestine” in Arabic and English and includes the
motif of the sunbird.56 Here, the counter/actual
imagination and performance of bureaucratic func-
tions associated with the modern nation state not
only emphasise the fact that Palestine is effectively
prevented from functioning as a state through occu-
pation, economic embargo, and land fragmentation,
but also draws attention to the physical restric-
tions imposed on Palestinian movement by Israeli
checkpoints, curfews, and the denial of visas, which
effectively imprison Palestinians in occupied ter-
ritory—something the artist has repeatedly expe-
rienced at first hand. Invited to participate in an
exhibition in the U.S., Jarrar needed to obtain a U.S.
travel visa, but because Palestine is not recognised
as a state by the U.S., there is no consulate there, so
Jarrar had to apply for permission from the Israeli
army to travel from occupied Palestinian territory
to Israel. The permission was denied. Ironically,
although Jarrar was successful in crossing into Israel
illegally and obtaining a U.S. travel visa, he had to
travel to Jordan to fly to America—and when trying to cross into Jordan, he was told by the Israeli army that he was banned from travelling for two months for “security reasons” and denied permission to leave the occupied West Bank.57

Through a counter/actual performance of a relatively normal bureaucratic function of the state—the stamping of passports and production of postage stamps—Jarrar draws attention to effective Palestinian lack of control over their borders: not only because of Israeli army control over the movement of Palestinians into, from, and within Palestine, but also because of Israeli control of the actual location of the border. Although the Palestinian Authority has a nominal presence at checkpoints on the borders of the Palestinian West Bank, it is the Israeli army who control access.58

Responding to Said’s call to “furnish the world with some narrative evidence” of the ethnic-cleansing and massacres of Palestinians as well as the brutality of Israeli occupation, many scholarly histories and historical analyses have been produced that respond to all the available evidence.59 These accounts have challenged the accuracy of the hegemonic Israeli narrative of the 1948 “voluntary flight of the Palestinians from much of Palestine” and the argument that their occupation is in reality a defensive measure and “a formula for a peaceful settlement” rather than a means of extending Israeli sovereignty further into occupied lands;60 but they have not dislodged the dominance of the narrative, despite inconsistencies between it and available sources. Indeed, as Pappé has argued, the historians he categorises as the neo-Zionists themselves acknowledge the findings of new historians in Israel—that Palestinians did not leave voluntarily, and that their ethnic cleansing was necessary for the establishment of Israel.61 Thus, although historians argue that “facts speak for themselves,” it is obvious that this is not at all the case. “Facts” serve dominant socio-political and economic interests and, as Sansour says, “[t]ruth is beside the point. Legitimacy is not a rational concept, it’s emotional, psychological.”62 Historians have “furnished the world with evidence,” but the world has not taken notice—injustice and violence continue.

In using the counter/actual, the artists discussed above draw attention to instances of neo-colonial injustice and absurdities of the status quo. Moreover, they explicitly deconstruct the disciplinary paradigms that are used to legitimise such realities, without uncritically reproducing normative relations of power, affirming the inevitability of the status quo or anaesthetising any “last pang of intellectual and ethical consciousness.”63 It is, of course, quite possible to address the widespread injustices and violence in Israel/Palestine without recourse to history. The argument over Palestine, as Howard Halle states, does not revolve around “the question of just who is indigenous: the Jews who conquered the place three millennia ago, or the Arabs who did so 2,000 years later.”64 Rather, the conflict centres on historical presents; it is an argument about political authority, provision of justice, human rights, and equitable division of resources for everyone who lives in Israel/Palestine and the occupied territories, as well as those who have been forced from their homes and live in exile or in refugee camps.65 In this situation, the use of the counter/actual not only questions representation and perspective in a way that histories do not—it also challenges the way in which stories are narrated, problematises political agendas, draws our attention to the current “facts on the ground”, and incisively and effectively highlights the actual injustice and inequality faced by people today.
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